

Comprehensive Credit Risk Management at Credit Unions

Randy Thompson, PHD CEO, TCT Risk Solutions

Brad Bauges



Defining Risk

What is Risk?

- Possibility of incurring loss
- Vulnerability to a negative outcome



Defining Risk

Risk Categories

- Credit Risk the risk of non-repayment where your credit union invests or loans funds.
- an appropriate net interest margin.
- meet your obligations.
- internal controls, information systems, employee integrity, and operating processes.
- contractual obligations will harm your credit union.
- your credit union's earnings and net worth.
- part of officials, management, or staff.

• Interest Rate Risk – the risk that your credit union won't adequately manage changes in market rates to maintain

• Liquidity Risk – the risk your credit union won't be able to liquidate assets quickly and with minimal loss in value to

• Transaction Risk – the risk that fraud or errors will cause a loss to your credit union. This risk is a function of


• Compliance Risk – the risk that failure to comply with laws and regulations, prudent ethical standards, and

• Strategic Risk – the risk that poor business decisions or improper implementation of strategic goals will reduce

• Reputation Risk – the risk that your credit union's public image will be tarnished due to improper actions on the



Key Elements





Comprehensive and Compliant Policies

Risk Based Loan Pricing

Credit Migration







Credit Risk Management

Credit risk policies describe the amount of risk the credit union will tolerate in its portfolio as well as how the credit union will identify credit problems early and respond to those problems.

Credit Risk Management policies should also describe the tools the credit union will use to manage credit risk and describe how those tools will aid in carrying out policy.

Credit Risk Management policy should support other loan policies including Loan Concentration Risk, IRR and Liquidity Policies.









Creating Compliant and Useful Policy

Making sure policies provide guidance to management and reflect the credit union's riskmanagement philosophy.

Loan policies have become much more detailed and encompassing as a result of regulations and the growing complexity in loan portfolios.







Underwriting





Credit Unions create criteria for making loan decisions Identify criteria that affect risk Criteria must be valid, reliable & fair



Underwriting





Validity - Are you measuring what you intend to measure?

Reliable - Do you get the same results from repeated applications?

Fair - Do all similar people get the same outcomes?





Risk Based Lending - Guidance

- NCUA Guidance Letter 174
- "Risk-based lending allows credit union management to assess the risks involved in different **types of loan products and price** these products based upon the **inherent risk** associated with individual borrowers.
- The end result is a **more diversified loan portfolio** mixing lower-yielding, lower risk loans with higher-yielding, but riskier loans."
- "Prior to beginning a risk-based lending program, it is important that the credit union board **determine the parameters** for the riskier loans based on the credit union's financial condition, business plan, lending and collection history, and asset liability management (ALM) program."



August 1995



Underwriting





Purpose of Risk Based Lending

- Diversify loan portfolio •
- Extend loans to underserved
- Make loans we would otherwise O avoid





Risk Based Lending - Considerations

•NCUA Guidance Letter - 174

Risk-based lending involves setting a **tiered pricing structure** that assigns loan rates based upon an **individual's credit risk**.

Through a carefully planned risk-based lending program, credit unions may be able to make loans to **somewhat higher-risk borrowers**, as well as better serve their **more credit-worthy members.**"



August 1995



NCUA Guidance Letter - 174

"The key to successful risk-based lending is to ensure that *prices* (rates) correctly reflect the risk and costs involved."



August 1995



Risk Based Pricing

We define risk, in relation to the loan portfolio, as the likelihood that money that has been expended or extended by the credit union will not return.

- Money expended includes cost of funds, loan operations and collections.
- Money extended includes charge-offs of principle balances.

These items are identified as costs and as such can be statistically (stochastically) quantified and measured.

The consistent and complete measurement of these costs is foundational to an accurate and effective loan pricing system.





Credit Migration





Many names for the same concept

- Credit Migration
- Multi-dimensional portfolio management
- On-going decisioning
- Migration Analysis





Credit Migration

 An important tool for loan portfolio risk management

•Loan losses are directly tied to it

•Examiners are asking for, and in many cases, requiring it

•It provides a valid and understandable method to identify expected credit losses







Credit Migration

Vital Statistic

60% to 80% of all losses come from loans that were made in higher grades and then experienced a falling FICO score.







Understanding Credit Scores






Credit Agencies continually monitor multiple risk indicators to calculate credit scores:

- Payment history
- Amount of credit
- Available credit
- Employment history
- Repossessions
- Bankruptcies 0
- Foreclosures

Using these variables they employ regression based models to predict loan losses








In this presentation we will tell the story of four individuals that represent credit union members.

Understanding their stories will help us improve our lending and serve our members better.

Sit back and enjoy the story of CREDIT MIGRATION










Good ole' Ruthie has a high B credit score. She never gets too wild. She buys the same things month after month, she never overspends. Good Ole' Ruthie is still a high B today!



Ruth






When Henry first came to your Credit Union, he had A+ credit. Several months ago, Henry lost his job, but he didn't change his lifestyle. He started living off of credit cards and today his credit score is a D.



Henry





Liz is a credit newbie. Your credit union decides to take a chance and approve her for a car loan. Over the past few months she has built her credit up to an impressive A+.








Was down on his luck when your credit union financed a car loan for him. His credit was a D. However, his luck turned around and he was able to find a job, make payments on time and even save a buck or two. Today his credit score is an A.



bh





RUTH B HENRY A+

LIZ NO CREDIT


JOHN \square



TCT Risk Solutions Take Charge Today

This was their credit scores a year ago.





RUTH **B CREDIT SCORE** STABLE

HENRY A+TO D IMPAIRED

LIZ NO CREDIT TO A+ IMPROVED


JOHN DTOANA



IMPROVED TCT Risk Solutions Take Charge Today

This is where they are today.






year ago?



Are you still offering services based on their credit scores a






Individuals union for loa wa You serve pe


> Our job as lenders is to examine those differences and make good decisions to balance risk and member services.







Individuals come to your credit union for loans from all different walks of life.

You serve people of varying ages, ethnicities, occupations and financial situations.









Understand Your Credit Portfolio

				Risk Chang	Credit Union e By Credit Sco Ending 11/30/2012	re			
Dolla	ar				ginal FICO Grades	-			
Current	FICO	A+	A	В	C	D	E	Not Reported	Grand Total
A+	740+	\$ 33,886,855	\$ 4,911,282	\$ 1,696,945	\$ 425,522	\$ 105,543	\$ 63,014	\$ 3,184,419	\$ 44,273,580
A	690-739	\$ 7,886,015	\$ 11,359,190	\$ 5,212,544	\$ 857,840	\$ 146,917	\$ 147,464	\$ 1,828,060	\$ 27,438,030
в	660-689	\$ 1,857,191	\$ 4,601,064	\$ 10,527,101	\$ 2,055,535	\$ 1,333,417	\$ 158,714	\$ 537,630	\$ 21,070,652
С	630-659	\$ 910,286	\$ 910,852	\$ 2,541,847	\$ 2,547,064	\$ 886,367	\$ 848,199	\$ 268,658	\$ 8,913,273
D	600-629	\$ 17,970	\$ 123,766	\$ 1,503,642	\$ 2,480,152	\$ 715,706	\$ 525,812	\$ 526,391	\$ 5,893,438
E	<600	\$ 91,237	\$ 610,842	\$ 1,026,951	\$ 2,088,359	\$ 717,708	\$ 643,272	\$ 563,043	\$ 5,741,413
Not Reported		\$ 75,089	\$ 29,047	\$ 481,778	\$ 189,437	\$-	\$ 300	\$ 472,982	\$ 1,248,632
Grand Total		\$ 44,724,643	\$ 22,546,043	\$ 22,990,809	\$ 10,643,908	\$ 3,905,658	\$ 2,386,776	\$ 7,381,182	\$ 114,579,017
Loans Not Risk	Rated and A	Adjustments			•				\$ 29,805,954
Total in Portfo	lio								\$ 144,384,972
Perce	ent			Ori	iginal FICO Grades				
Current	FICO	A+	A	В	С	D	E	Not Reported	Grand Total
A+	740+	75.77%	21.78%	7.38%	4.00%	2.70%	2.64%	43.14%	38.64%
A	690-739	17.63%	50.38%	22.67%	8.06%	3.76%	6.18%	24.77%	23.95%
В	660-689	4.15%	20.41%	45.79%	19.31%	34.14%	6.65%	7.28%	18.39%
С	630-659	2.04%	4.04%	11.06%	23.93%	22.69%	35.54%	3.64%	7.78%
D	600-629	0.04%	0.55%	6.54%	23.30%	18.32%	22.03%	7.13%	5.14%
E	<600	0.20%	2.71%	4.47%	19.62%	18.38%	26.95%	7.63%	5.01%
Not Reported		0.17%	0.13%	2.10%	1.78%	0.00%	0.01%	6.41%	1.09%
Grand Total		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Migration matrix for total portfolio



Original FICO vs. Current FICO



RUTH UNCHANGED

			For F	Perio	d Ending MM/D	D/20	YY					
Dolla	ar				Orig	ina	l Credit Grade	÷				
Current Cre	dit Grade	A+	Α		В		С		D	E	N	ot Reported
A+	740+	\$ 44,240,499	\$ 2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$ 16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$ 6,145,533	\$ 16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$ 15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$ 1,368,899	\$ 3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$ 135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$ 1,142,494	\$ 1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$ 113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$ 498,987	\$ 723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$ 212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$ 90,753	\$ 339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$ 488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$ 53,487,165	\$ 24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$ 981,462	\$	19,804,831

JOHN IMPAIRED


				1011	CHIC	a chaing mim/D								
Doll	ar	Original Credit Grade												
Current Cre	edit Grade	A+		Α		В		С		D		E	N	ot Reported
A+	740+	\$ 44,240,499	\$	2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$	16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$ 6,145,533	\$	16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$	15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$ 1,368,899	\$	3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$	135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$ 1,142,494	\$	1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$	113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$ 498,987	\$	723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$	212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$ 90,753	\$	339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$	488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$ 53,487,165	\$	24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$	981,462	\$	19,804,831

LIZ IMPROVED

			For	'erio	d Ending MM/D	D/20)YY					
Doll	ar				Orig	jina	I Credit Grade	•				
Current Cre	dit Grade	A+	Α		В		С		D	E	N	ot Reported
A+	740+	\$ 44,240,499	\$ 2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$ 16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$ 6,145,533	\$ 16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$ 15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$ 1,368,899	\$ 3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$ 135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$ 1,142,494	\$ 1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$ 113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$ 498,987	\$ 723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$ 212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$ 90,753	\$ 339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$ 488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$ 53,487,165	\$ 24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$ 981,462	\$	19,804,831

JOHN IMPROVED

			For F	Perio	od Ending MM/D	D/20	YY					
Doll	ar				Orig	ina	l Credit Grade)				
Current Cre	dit Grade	A+	Α		В		С		D	E	No	ot Reported
A+	740+	\$ 44,240,499	\$ 2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$ 16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$ 6,145,533	\$ 16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$ 15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$ 1,368,899	\$ 3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$ 135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$ 1,142,494	\$ 1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$ 113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$ 498,987	\$ 723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$ 212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$ 90,753	\$ 339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$ 488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported		\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$ 53,487,165	\$ 24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$ 981,462	\$	19,804,831



Risk Change By Credit Score


Risk Change By Credit Score	
For Period Ending MM/DD/20YY	

Sample CU Risk Change By Credit Score

Ear Daried Ending MM/DD/20VV

Risk Change By Credit Score







Credit unions create lending policies and underwriting procedures to direct the evaluation of risk for each member and guide the loan approval process.

One thing we need to understand is that the member's financial condition may change after the loan has been either approved or denied.










35



				nge By Credi					
Dol	lar				Credit Grade				
Current Cr	edit Grade	A+	Α	В	С	D	E	N	ot Reported
A+	740+	\$ 44,240,499	\$ 2,713,196	\$ 931,327	\$ 355,450	\$ 75,223	\$ 16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$ 6,145,533	\$ 16,186,948	\$ 1,411,524	\$ 662,827	\$ 268,604	\$ 15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$ 1,368,899	\$ 3,219,075	\$ 9,696,516	\$ 869,699	\$ 200,292	\$ 135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$ 1,142,494	\$ 1,114,459	\$ 1,813,307	\$ 5,461,271	\$ 461,091	\$ 113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$ 498,987	\$ 723,410	\$ 939,349	\$ 1,149,676	\$ 2,757,908	\$ 212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$ 90,753	\$ 339,731	\$ 286,460	\$ 387,434	\$ 350,146	\$ 488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported	I	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$ 53,487,165	\$ 24,296,820	\$ 15,078,483	\$ 8,886,357	\$ 4,113,264	\$ 981,462	\$	19,804,831



Ruth

Unchanged Loans

What should we do? Nothing ③




							nge By Credi od Ending MM/DI							
Doll	ar						Orig	ina	l Credit Grade	•				
Current Credit Grade A+ A B C D E No												ot Reported		
A+	740+	\$	44,240,499	\$	2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$ 16,765	\$	10,308,316
Α	700-739	\$	6,145,533	\$	16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$ 15,176	\$	2,667,577
В	655-699	\$	1,368,899	\$	3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$ 135,009	\$	2,283,109
С	625-654	\$	1,142,494	\$	1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$ 113,156	\$	779,646
D	570-624	\$	498,987	\$	723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$ 212,973	\$	697,122
E	< 570	\$	90,753	\$	339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$ 488,383	\$	603,848
Not Reported		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	2,465,214
Total		\$	53,487,165	\$	24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$ 981,462	\$	19,804,831



Henry

Impaired Loans






Henry Impaired Loans

Original FICO	Original Grade	Current FICO	Current Grade	Open Date	Rate	Limit
759	A+	617	D	2/8/2013	14.99%	\$ 5K

- Switch from cashflow to unsecured debt






bhn & Liz

Identifying Improved Loans

						nge By Credi d Ending MM/DI								
	Original Credit Grade													
•		A+		Α		В		С		D		E	N	ot Reported
	\$	44,240,499	\$	2,713,196	\$	931,327	\$	355,450	\$	75,223	\$	16,765	\$	10,308,316
	\$	6,145,533	\$	16,186,948	\$	1,411,524	\$	662,827	\$	268,604	\$	15,176	\$	2,667,577
	\$	1,368,899	\$	3,219,075	\$	9,696,516	\$	869,699	\$	200,292	\$	135,009	\$	2,283,109
	\$	1,142,494	\$	1,114,459	\$	1,813,307	\$	5,461,271	\$	461,091	\$	113,156	\$	779,646
	\$	498,987	\$	723,410	\$	939,349	\$	1,149,676	\$	2,757,908	\$	212,973	\$	697,122
	\$	90,753	\$	339,731	\$	286,460	\$	387,434	\$	350,146	\$	488,383	\$	603,848
	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,465,214
	\$	53,487,165	\$	24,296,820	\$	15,078,483	\$	8,886,357	\$	4,113,264	\$	981,462	\$	19,804,831







bhn & LIZ


Identifying Improved Loans

Loan Type	Balance	Original FICO	Original Grade	Current FICO	Current Grade	Open Date	Rate
New Auto	\$18,432	645	С	787	A+	4/18/12	6.50%

What should we do?

Liz and John are the best target group for marketing your services and for referrals to their friends.





Improved FICO Opportunities

Additional Services Extended to Member

VISA HELOC DEBIT CARD



Impact for Credit Union


\$37,500 in new loan balances

\$1,000 interchange income for debit transactions Impact for Member

Reduced interest rate and interest cost on loans

Enhanced ability to access effective loans









Credit migration is a win-win.

Members with financial problems are identified earlier so they can be helped.

Members with improved financials receive improve access of credit.

Credit Union reduces losses, increases income and helps more members.








Bankruptcy Narrative

Act early and minimize exposure













Identifying Emerging Opportunities











Identifying Emerging Opportunities

ſ					-				1		
Doll:	ar		Original FICO Grades								
								Not			
Current FICO		A+	A	В	С	D	E	Reported	Grand Total		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
A+	740+	33,886,855	4,911,282	1,696,945	425,522	105,543	63,014	3,184,419	44,273,580		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
A	690-739	7,886,015	11,359,190	5,212,544	857,840	146,917	147,464	1,828,060	27,438,030		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
ξВ	660-689	1,857,191	4,601,064	10,527,101	2,055,535	1,333,417	158,714	537,630	21,070,652		
ſ		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$]		
С	630-659	910,286	910,852	2,541,847	2,547,064	886,367	848,199	268,658	8,913,273		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
D	600-629	17,970	123,766	1,503,642	2,480,152	715,706	525,812	526,391	5,893,438		
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
E	<600	91,237	610,842	1,026,951	2,088,359	717,708	643,272	563,043	5,741,413		
Not		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Reported		75,089	29,047	481,778	189,437	-	300	472,982	1,248,632		
Grand		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$		
Total		44,724,643	22,546,043	22,990,809	10,643,908	3,905,658	2,386,776	7,381,182	114,579,017		



Improving Credit scores are also important

Central mission of helping members

Targeted marketing

Increased loyalty

Upsell opportunities





Q23

 \bullet : \times \checkmark f_x 0

4	A	В	DE	F	G	н	I.	J	К	L	м	N	0	Р	Q	R	S	Т	U
С	redit	t Grad	de Improved	3/31/2013															
	emb	Loan	Loan Type Loan Pool	Current	Original Credit Score	Original Credit Grade	Current Credit Score	Current Credit Score	Open Date	Interest Rate	Days DQ	Original Loan Amount	Balance at Other Lender (2nd Mortgage and	Total Loans	Total Available Credit (Lines of Credit, Credit Cards and	Collateral Value (for unsecured loans, leave blank)	LTV	Years loan has been on books	\$ of Pricipa
	1746	10	2 Real Estate	\$ 31,769.96	692	В	789	A+	10/1/2005	4.25%	0	\$ 47,000.00	\$ 156,281.00	\$ 188,050.96	\$ 47,000.00	\$ 400,000.00	47%	7.50	\$ 15
	1005	10	2 Real Estate	\$ 25,517.11	557	E	677	В	4/19/2006	4.25%	0	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 300,000.00	\$ 325,517.11	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 450,000.00	72%	6.95	\$ 24
	1006	10	2 Real Estate	\$ 35,168.30	533	E	663	С	3/13/2009	4.78%	0	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 230,000.00	\$ 265,168.30	\$ 44,000.00	\$ 400,000.00	66%	4.05	\$ 8
	1007	10	9 Real Estate	\$ 15,989.59	563	E	632	D	5/31/2007	8.05%	0	\$ 30,500.00		\$ 15,989.59	\$ -	\$ 150,000.00	11%	5.84	\$ 14
	1019	10	6 Unsecured	\$ 1,865.61	563	E	675	В	2/16/2011	7.50%	0	\$ 5,000.00		\$ 1,865.61	\$-	\$ 5,000.00	37%	2.12	\$ 3
	1029	10	6 Unsecured	\$ 8,159.77	590	E	669	С	5/23/2012	9.00%	0	\$ 10,000.00		\$ 8,159.77	\$-	\$ 10,000.00	82%	0.85	\$ 1
	1030	10	6 Unsecured	\$ 14,862.62	571	E	650	С	5/3/2012	8.73%	0	\$ 18,021.94		\$ 14,862.62	\$-	\$ 18,021.94	82%	0.91	\$ 3
)	1034	10	6 Unsecured	\$ 4,286.21	554	E	650	С	4/8/2011	7.50%	0	\$ 10,000.00		\$ 4,286.21	\$ -	\$ 10,000.00	43%	1.98	\$ 5
	1950	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 16,772.08	697	В	707	A	10/13/2012	2.00%	0	\$ 17,684.20		\$ 16,772.08	\$ -	\$ 15,000.00	112%	0.46	\$
2	2322	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 36,732.12	733	A	749	A+	2/18/2013	3.49%	0	\$ 37,178.40		\$ 36,732.12	\$-	\$ 35,000.00	105%	0.11	\$
3	2326	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 22,699.08	720	A	801	A+	12/10/2012	1.90%	0	\$ 24,240.37		\$ 22,699.08	\$ -	\$ 25,000.00	91%	0.30	\$ 1
1	2329	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 28,045.15	735	A	744	A+	11/8/2012	2.00%	0	\$ 29,584.82		\$ 28,045.15	\$-	\$ 25,000.00	112%	0.39	\$ 1
5	2330	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 15,868.89	706	A	772	A+	11/2/2012	1.90%	0	\$ 18,150.00		\$ 15,868.89	\$ -	\$ 12,000.00	132%	0.41	\$ 2
5	2335	10	1 Vehicle	\$ 34,567.82	738	A	757	A+	10/9/2012	1.99%	0	\$ 36,907.00		\$ 34,567.82	\$ -	\$ 23,500.00	147%	0.47	\$ 2
7	1000	1000	5 Vehicle	\$ 4,475.05	597	E	750	A+	10/12/2010	11.15%	0	\$ 6,261.17		\$ 4,475.05	\$ -	\$ 2,175.00	206%	2.47	\$ 1
3	1001	1001	5 Vehicle	\$ 3,902.40	554	E	630	D	7/23/2010	8.29%	0	\$ 6,546.45		\$ 3,902.40	\$ -	\$ 10,750.00	36%	2.69	\$ 2
)	1002	1002	5 Vehicle	\$ 3,608.73	597	E	604	D	7/27/2007	13.31%	0	\$ 10,029.61		\$ 3,608.73	\$ -	\$ 2,475.00	146%	5.68	\$ 6
)	1003	1003	4 Pledge	\$ 81,915.45	577	E	770	A+	8/25/2006	3.75%	0	\$ 100,000.00		\$ 81,915.45	\$ -	\$ -	No Value	6.60	\$ 18
2	1008	1008	6 Unsecured	\$ 9,555.28	578	E	721	A	11/24/2010	16.00%	0	\$ 12,751.98		\$ 9,555.28	\$ 12,751.98	\$ -	No Value	2.35	\$ 3
3	1009	1009	6 Unsecured	\$ 1,629.90	591	E	705	A	6/9/2010	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 1,629.90	\$-	\$-	No Value	2.81	\$
1	1010	1010	6 Unsecured	\$ 423.48	569	E	720	A	3/28/2008	15.00%	0	\$ 500.00		\$ 423.48	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No Value	5.01	\$
5	1011	1011	6 Unsecured	\$ -	573	E	707	A	5/19/2005	15.00%	0	\$ 1,000.00		\$-	\$ 1,000.00	\$ -	No Value	7.87	\$ 1
5	1012	1012	6 Unsecured	\$ 2,689.15	577	E	709	A	4/27/2005	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 2,689.15	\$-	\$ -	No Value	7.93	\$
7	1013	1013	6 Unsecured	\$ 80.94	599	E	784	A+	12/17/2008	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 80.94	\$-	\$ -	No Value	4.29	\$
3	1014	1014	6 Unsecured	\$ (7.64)) 597	E	753	A+	6/13/2008	0.00%	0	s -		\$ (7.64)	\$-	\$ -	No Value	4.80	\$
•	1015	1015	6 Unsecured	\$ 557.09	591	E	760	A+	10/23/2007	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 557.09	\$-	\$ -	No Value	5.44	\$
)	1016	1016	6 Unsecured	\$ -	599	E	783	A+	11/17/2006	0.00%	0	s -		\$-	\$-	\$ -	No Value	6.37	\$
	1017	1017	6 Unsecured	\$ -	578	E	755	A+	8/18/2005	15.00%	0	\$ 500.00		\$-	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No Value	7.62	\$
2	1018	1018	6 Unsecured	\$ 320.89	520	E	748	A+	4/18/2005	15.00%	0	\$ 500.00		\$ 320.89	\$ 500.00	\$-	No Value	7.96	\$
3	1020	1020	6 Unsecured	\$ 6.97	598	E	694	В	11/27/2009	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 6.97	\$-	\$-	No Value	3.34	\$
1	1021	1021	6 Unsecured	\$ 786.97	585	E	699	В	4/24/2009	15.00%	0	\$ 1,000.00		\$ 786.97	\$ 1,000.00	\$-	No Value	3.94	\$
5	1022	1022	6 Unsecured	s -	562	E	687	в	1/27/2009	15.00%	0	\$ 500.00		s -	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No Value	4.18	\$
5	1023	1023	6 Unsecured	\$ 500.00	592	E	682	в	12/5/2008	15.00%	0	\$ 500.00		\$ 500.00	\$ 500.00	\$ -	No Value	4.32	\$
7	1024	1024	6 Unsecured	s -	592	E	693	В	7/26/2007	15.00%	0	\$ 200.00		s -	\$ 200.00	\$ -	No Value	5.68	\$
3	1025	1025	6 Unsecured	\$ 444.72	526	E	675	в	4/16/2007	0.00%	0	s -		\$ 444.72	s -	s -	No Value	5.96	\$





Identifying Improved Loans

Loan Type	Balance	Original FICO		Current FICO		Open Date	Rate
New Auto	\$ 18,432	645	C	787	A+	4/18/2012	6.50%





Credit Migration

Understanding your Loan Portfolio Credit risk can Increase or Decrease Which risk pools are improving impairing?

Identifying Potential Problems

Isolate impaired loans and react to them early Understand the risk in your pools and adjust lending practices

Identifying Emerging Opportunities Recognize Members that are making smart decisions Proactively offer ways to help your members Understand which pools of loans to take on more risk

Applying Precision in Allowance Calculation Statistically based calculation Complying to regulations





ALLL- Homogeneous Pools

				Sar	np	le CU				
						eded Calculation				
						ling 3/31/12				
Visa		Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	785,164.07	\$	-	\$	785,164.07	2.47%	\$		19,367.74
	-	Dalamas		India Ident Las Del		La Lana Cala Dalaman	Loss Data			
Unsecured	_	Balance	•	Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.	•	Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	806,320.57	\$	-	\$	806,320.57	3.90%	\$		31,470.10
New Auto	-	Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	3,969,638.65	\$	103,006.94	\$	3,866,631.71	0.18%	\$		6,977.06
		1 1		,		/ /		•		,
Used Auto		Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	1,679,782.66	\$	66,592.23	\$	1,613,190.43	1.74%	\$		28,082.43
Real Estate		Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	5,346,172.03	\$	265,049.18	\$	5,081,122.85	0.00%	\$		-
All Other	Ļ	Balance	_	Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount		ALLL Amount
Total	\$	1,519,992.75	\$	34,193.30	\$	1,485,799.45	0.91%	\$		13,532.31
MBL	-	Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate			ALLL Amount
Total	¢	167,796.35	¢	Inuiv. Iueni. Liis. Dai.	¢	167,796.35	0.00%	¢		
Total	φ	107,790.55	Φ		φ	107,790.55	0.0076	Ψ		-
Participation Loans		Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate			ALLL Amount
Total	\$	339,851.87	\$	_	\$	339,851.87	0.27%	\$		925.22
		Balance		Indiv. Ident. Lns. Bal.		Ln Loss Calc Balance		Т	otal	ALLL Amount
ALLL Amount Needed-FAS 5		\$14,614,719		\$468,842		\$14,145,877		\$	~ 141	100,354.87
				÷ · ; - · -		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•		.,







Risk Based ALLL w/Credit Migration

Maintain existing pools

Divide pool balances into credit grade ranges

Create unique loss ratios for each grade within each pool

Monitor changing credit scores for movement in pools

Calculate ALLL requirement for each grade within each pool





Expected Credit Losses

- Formula for describing Expected Risk of Loss
- Where:
- $R_T = Total Risk$
- $R_c = Core Risk$
- $G_A = Grade Adjustment$
- R_{IC} = Individual Risk Change
- R_{EC} = Economic Risk Change



• $R_T = (R_C + G_A) + (R_{IC} + R_{EC})$

ALLL- Homogeneous Pools

			Sample CU					
		ALLL Amo	ount Needed Ca	alculation				
		Pe	riod Ending 3/31/	12				
Visa	Lnl	Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount		Comparison
A+	\$	259,369.55	0.00%	6 -	Risk Based	Pooled Method		•
A	\$	168,938.10	0.00%	- 6				
В	\$	138,468.94	0.86%	5 1,197.27				
С	\$	100,397.26	0.00%	6 -				
D	\$	17,814.59	11.38%	5 2,028.07				
E	\$	100,175.61	13.64%	5 13,662.42				
Missing	\$	_	0.00% \$	5 -				
Total	\$	785,164.07	2.47%		\$ 16,887.76	\$ 19,367.74	\$	(2,479.98)
Unsecured	Ln l	Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount		Comparison
A+	\$	282,089.23	0.00%	-	Risk Based	Pooled Method		
A	\$	242,048.59	0.00% \$	- 6				
B	\$	119,065.98	7.94%	9,456.25				
C	\$	126,613.88	7.74%	9,803.43				
D	\$	9,941.55	18.84%	5 1,872.55				
E	\$	26,561.36	23.75%	6,308.24				
Missing	\$	-	0.00%	- 6				
Total	\$	806,320.57	3.90%		\$ 27,440.48	\$ 31,470.10	\$	(4,029.62)
	Se	ections O	mitted	for Pr	esentatio)n		
All Other	Lnl	Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount		Comparison
A+	\$	1,010,677.61	0.00%	6 -	Risk Based	Pooled Method		-
A	\$	191,354.97	0.00%	- 6				
В	\$	198,588.23	0.00% \$	5 -				
С	\$	60,805.07	0.00%	- 6				
D	\$	17,620.90	0.00%	- 6				
E	\$	6,334.44	21.40%	5 1,355.76				
Missing	\$	418.23	0.00%	- 6				
Total	\$	1,485,799.45	0.91%		\$ 1,355.76	\$ 13,532.31	\$	(12,176.56)
MBL	-	Loss Calc Balance	Loss Rate		ALLL Amount	ALLL Amount		Comparison
Total	\$	167,796.35	0.00%		\$-	\$-	\$	-
Participation Loans		occ Colc Polonec	Loce Date					Compariase
Participation Loans	\$	Loss Calc Balance 339,851.87	Loss Rate 0.27%		ALLL Amount \$925.22	\$ 925.22	¢	Comparison
Total	•	559,051.07	0.2170			9 923.22	•	-
	Ln l	Loss Calc Balance			Total ALLL Amount	Total ALLL Amount		Difference
ALLL Amount Needed-FAS 5		\$14,145,877			\$ 83,416.44	\$ 100,354.87	\$	(16,938.43)







Loss Factors





To produce valid output data must:

Be random

Include the population of input points

Linking output to only one credit union, or one region will skew the input and invalidate the outputs



Applied Case Study

Effect of \$1 mil in decreasing FICO scores Effect of \$1 mil in increasing FICO scores

Net Effect of total score migration

*Note: This effect is post CECL adjustment



Increases ALLL by \$39,090 Decreases ALLL by \$56,790

Decrease ALLL by \$17,700*

Considerations

Early planning makes a huge difference

Understand the impacts on initial transfer

Understand the impacts from growth & operations

Plan for increases in ALLL requirements


Assure your pricing accurately measures **CECL** impact







Proportion of Loans by Credit Tier



Credit Migration Users are able to lend deeper.



Tier 6€



Net Credit Scores are nearly 93% higher!

Credit Migration Users +7.3%
Non-CM Users +4.1%

Credit Migration users are able to lend more effectively.



Average Credit Union ROA increased nearly 95%

 Credit Migration Users 0.70 • Non-CM Users 0.41

Credit Migration users are able to lend more profitably.



- Loan yields increase by **34** basis points by enhancing C, D and E lending
- Delinquencies declined to 0.35%
- Charge-off ratio declined to 0.16%
- Loan to share increased to 83%

These factors combine to enhance income and strengthen equity



Final notes of caution

- Credit Migration system must be statistically valid to achieve maximum benefit
- Simply tracking scores will not provide maximum benefits
- A statistically valid model establishes accurate score ranges and risk analyses



FOR MORE INFORMATION OR TO SCHEDULE A DEMO

Contact Donna Jensen djensen@tctrisk.com tctrisk.com 208.939.8366

